# Why Venus has No Moon

Alex Alemi D. Stevenson *Caltech* 

#### Motivation

Late Stage Aggregation

~ Mars impactors

Earth's moon

Large Impact Hypothesis
SPH models of Moon formation

What was story for Venus?

### **Moon-forming Impacts**

 J~0.6 J<sub>EM</sub> required to form a moon
 LSA models involve larger Collisions (avg largest = 1.44 J<sub>EM</sub>)



 $I\omega + (GM_V/a)^{1/2}aM_S = J$ 

- I = moment of inertia of Venus = 0.34 M<sub>v</sub>R<sub>v</sub><sup>2</sup>
- M<sub>v</sub> = mass of Venus
- R = radius of Venus
- $M_s$  = mass of the satellite
- a =orbital distance
- w = spin of Venus
- $n = (GM_{v}/a^{3})^{1/2}$  is the orbital mean motion of the moon

Agnor C.B., R.M. Canup, and H.F. Levison. 1999. On the Character and Consequences of Large Impacts in the Late Stage of Terrestrial Formation. *Icarus* **142**. 219-237

## 1 Large Impact





- Venus' Hill Sphere too large to allow easy escape.
- Solar Tides too slow to cause inward evolution and coalescence
- Large impact -> Large spin, becomes difficult to explain Venus' current slow rotation





### **Two Impact Story**

- Two Large impacts of opposite angular momentum
- ~25% of planets in Agnor et al underwent more than one 1 J<sub>EM</sub> collision
- Causes reversal of tidal evolution -> inward coalescence
- Moon returns on 10<sup>7</sup> year timescales
- Results in low primordial spin



### Scenarios

# of Large Impacts	
0	Unlikely according to LSA. It becomes hard to explain Venus' mass.
1	Results in large primordial spin. Difficult to get rid of moon.
2	Models suggest 2 large impacts still probable (~ 25%). Provides easy mechanism to dispose of moon. Results in low primordial spin.
>2	Becomes less probable as number of large impacts increases.

### Conclusion

 Venus not having a moon is at least as interesting as Earth having a substantial one.

 Two large impacts of opposite sense can explain the missing moon and slow rotation